InSight
A Journal of Scholarly Teaching

Volume 21 Article 2

From Battlefield to Blackboard: Applying Military Training Principles to Improve Teaching and Faculty Development

Robert Gordon Spencer, MS, MBA, EdD
Independent Scholar

Recommended Citation
Spencer, R. G. (2026). From battlefield to blackboard: Applying military training principles to improve teaching and faculty development. *InSight: A Journal of Scholarly Teaching*, *21*, Article 2. doi: 10.46504/21202602sp

From Battlefield to Blackboard: Applying Military Training Principles to Improve Teaching and Faculty Development

Robert G. Spencer, MS MBA, EdD
Independent Scholar

Abstract. Colleges often struggle to engage and retain adult learners effectively while the U.S. military consistently produces mastery, discipline, and resilience under pressure. This practice-oriented article examines instructional principles drawn from military training—clarity of mission, structured feedback, peer accountability, and experiential mastery—and explores how these methods can enhance faculty teaching and student success in higher education. Grounded in Schlossberg's Transition Theory, Self-Determination Theory, and Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory, the paper integrates qualitative insights from Spencer (2024) with recent empirical research on debriefing, motivation, and faculty development. The discussion advances a model of mission-based pedagogy that reframes faculty teaching as a balance between structure and autonomy—emphasizing purpose, feedback, and reflection as tools for excellence. Adapting these principles does not militarize learning; it professionalizes it, fostering instructional precision and engagement across in-person, online, and hybrid learning environments where structure, feedback, and reflection sustain learner motivation and persistence.

Colleges and universities have invested heavily in technology and course innovation over the past several decades, yet the essential human act of teaching remains uneven in quality and consistency (Gkrimpizi et al., 2023; Sum et al., 2022). These patterns are well documented in higher-education research, which consistently shows substantial variation in faculty structure, clarity, and feedback practices despite widespread technological investment (Umbach & Wawrzynski, 2005; Martin et al., 2019; Patall et al., 2023). Research on college instruction continues to document variability in how clearly faculty structure learning environments, communicate expectations, and provide timely feedback (Martin et al., 2019; Patall et al., 2023). Many instructors struggle with uneven expectations, delayed feedback, and variable student motivation, even when robust technological tools are available. By contrast, the U.S. military—arguably the world's largest adult-education system—achieves consistent learning outcomes under pressure, across rank and background, and focuses on mastery rather than mere completion.

This paper explores what higher-education faculty can learn from military instructional design and pedagogy. Drawing on qualitative findings from Exploring the Transitions of Veterans Attending College (Spencer, 2024) and contemporary adult-learning research, it outlines how discipline, immediate feedback, and structured purpose support achievement. Rather than proposing rigidity, it advocates structured compassion: teaching rooted in purpose, clarity, and accountability that respects adult learners' time, goals, and experience. The framework applies equally to online and hybrid learning environments where feedback cycles and structure promote persistence. These same qualities are essential in online and hybrid learning environments, where faculty presence, prompt feedback, and course organization directly influence persistence and learner satisfaction (Martin et al., 2019). Together, these insights position the article within broader scholarship of teaching and learning conversations about how structure, feedback, and reflective practice can strengthen faculty effectiveness across modalities.

It is important to clarify at the outset that the instructional principles discussed here are not proposed as a direct transfer of military authority structures into academic environments. Rather, military training is examined as a professional and metaphorical model of instructional design, one that emphasizes clarity of purpose, feedback precision, and reflective improvement without importing hierarchy, coercion, or command-and-control dynamics. The focus is on pedagogical architecture, not institutional power. In this sense, military-informed teaching principles align closely with adult learning theory by supporting autonomy through structure, competence through feedback, and engagement through shared purpose. Framing these principles early helps prevent misinterpretation and underscores that mission-based pedagogy is adaptive, not militarizing.

Theoretical Foundations: Adult Learning and Military Instruction

Understanding how military principles translate into higher education requires grounding these practices in established theories of adult learning. These frameworks help clarify not only why military training is effective, but also where its limits lie, allowing faculty to adapt military-inspired strategies without ignoring context, identity, or academic freedom. These themes align closely with established adult learning theories that emphasize autonomy

within structure—a balance explored next through the frameworks of Schlossberg (1981), Knowles (1984), Bandura (1986), and Deci and Ryan (2000). This section further develops the theoretical foundations by outlining each theory's core concepts, strengths, limitations, and relevance to military and academic instruction. Adult-learning theories offer a lens for understanding why military pedagogy achieves such reliable results and how its strengths and limits translate into higher education.

Schlossberg's Transition Theory (1981) explains that adults in transition evaluate new roles through four factors: Situation, Self, Support, and Strategies. These 4S dimensions help explain why transitions vary so widely in intensity and outcome. A major advantage of Schlossberg's framework is its practical focus on coping resources; it gives educators concrete levers (e.g., increasing support or strengthening strategies) to ease transition (Schlossberg, 2005). A limitation, however, is that it tends to foreground the individual more than the structural conditions they move through. Schlossberg (2005) later expanded the 4S model to emphasize how adults' coping resources interact with environmental demands, a distinction particularly relevant for veterans transitioning from regimented structures to more ambiguous academic environments. Veterans moving from regimented service to comparatively unstructured college environments often struggle with shifts in identity and feedback loops (Ackerman et al., 2022; Elliott et al., 2011; DiRamio et al., 2008). DiRamio, Ackerman, and Mitchell (2008) applied Schlossberg's 4S model directly to student veterans and found that the abrupt shift from structured military environments to loosely organized academic settings intensified challenges of identity, support, and academic engagement. Similarly, Elliott, Gonzalez, and Larsen (2011) found that veterans often experience disorientation and social isolation when campus environments lack the predictable structure and clear expectations they were accustomed to in the military. Military instruction mitigates ambiguity through predictable routines, clear performance standards, and frequent evaluation—conditions that align closely with the "Situation" and "Support" dimensions in Schlossberg's model. When faculty build similarly predictable course structures, explicit expectations, and reliable feedback channels, they help all students—but especially veterans—navigate academic transitions with clearer strategies and stronger perceived support.

Veterans moving from regimented service to comparatively unstructured college environments often struggle with shifts in identity…

Knowles's Andragogy (1984) asserts that adults learn best when instruction is self-directed, relevant, and problem-centered. His six assumptions—need to know, self-concept, prior experience, readiness, orientation to learning, and motivation—have become foundational in adult education. The strength of andragogy lies in its insistence that adult learners are goal-oriented and practical; they want to understand why they are doing something and how it will matter. Critics, however, note that not all adults are equally self-directed or ready for autonomy, and that the theory can underplay issues of power, culture, and structural constraint. Military programs embody the best of Knowles's principles through mission briefs, scenario-based drills, and clear application to real-world outcomes. Recruits rarely train for their own sake; every exercise is framed as preparation for a specific operational need. Translating these features to college classrooms means replacing abstract objectives with contextualized challenges and defined purpose statements. When faculty adopt mission-oriented outcomes—"By the end of this module, you will be able to…"—they combine relevance with direction, particularly beneficial for adult learners juggling work, family, and school.

Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory (1986) emphasizes reciprocal determinism among personal factors, behavior, and environment, with modeling, self-efficacy, and feedback as central mechanisms. One advantage of Bandura's framework is that it explains how observing others influences beliefs about one's own capabilities and how those beliefs drive persistence. A limitation is that it can be difficult to operationalize self-efficacy without robust measurement or sustained observation, and it may understate structural barriers that limit opportunity regardless of individual belief. Military trainers rely heavily on vicarious learning: instructors and senior peers demonstrate tasks, model desired behaviors under stress, and highlight incremental gains in competence. These practices cultivate self-efficacy as recruits see "people like them" succeed in demanding conditions. Faculty can apply this by explicitly modeling critical-thinking processes, academic habits, and professional discourse—thinking out loud as they solve problems, deconstructing exam questions, and narrating how they revise their own writing. For veterans and other adult learners, this transparent modeling bridges the gap between prior experience and new academic expectations.

Deci and Ryan's Self-Determination Theory (2000) further clarifies why disciplined environments can be motivating rather than oppressive. SDT posits that motivation flourishes when three basic psychological needs are met: autonomy, competence, and relatedness. A key strength of SDT is its robust empirical base and its nuanced distinction between controlled and autonomous forms of motivation (Ryan & Deci, 2017). At the same time, critics have raised

questions about how universally these needs manifest across cultures and contexts, and how easily institutions can operationalize autonomy support in highly regulated environments. The military's clarity of expectations and graduated challenges can satisfy competence needs while unit cohesion and shared identity contribute to relatedness. Autonomy is more constrained in military settings, but trainees still experience forms of choice, such as roles, specialties, or leadership opportunities, within defined parameters. In higher education, transparent rubrics, consistent communication, and structured opportunities for choice (e.g., assignment options, project topics) can similarly support competence and autonomy, especially when paired with strong relationships.

Fenwick and Tennant (2004) expand the adult learning conversation beyond traditional cognitive frames by emphasizing embodied, ecological, and identity-based perspectives. In their view, learning is not merely internal cognition but co-emerges with the sociocultural environment, physical context, and learners' evolving sense of self. They describe multiple "metaphors" for learning, such as acquisition, participation, and transformation, and urge educators to reflect on how their own positionality shapes what is privileged as legitimate learning. The advantage of this approach is that it widens the lens, acknowledging complexity, context, and power; its limitation is that it can be less prescriptive for day-to-day instructional design. Applying this perspective to military training in higher education reminds us that importing military-style structure without attending to identity, history, and power can inadvertently silence or marginalize learners. Purpose, structure, and feedback should not suppress individual voices or coerce uniformity but rather scaffold growth, agency, and reflective meaning-making.

Together, these frameworks reveal that effective teaching—military or academic—depends on balancing autonomy with structure, feedback with reflection, and challenge with support. Faculty who consciously integrate these dynamics replicate the motivational architecture that makes military education so effective while staying attentive to context and equity. In practice, this means designing courses that are mission-driven but not rigid, structured but not controlling, and demanding yet deeply respectful of students' lived experiences.

Comparing Military and Academic Instruction: Discipline, Feedback, and Purpose

Military education represents one of the most effective models of adult learning. Veterans who transition to college often contrast the structured, mission-driven pedagogy of the military with the loosely organized autonomy of many higher education classrooms. Military learning outcomes tend to be competency-based, feedback is often immediate, and purpose is clearly defined—qualities that align with andragogy, constructivism, and experiential learning theory (Knowles, 1984; Stone, 2017; Hunter-Johnson et al., 2021).

Contemporary meta-analytic research further supports the effectiveness of rapid debriefing strategies: for example, Keiser and Arthur (2021) found that after-action reviews (AARs)—a structured process for post-task reflection—yielded an average effect size of $d = 0.79$ across 61 studies, indicating a substantial impact on learning and performance outcomes. More recent analyses by LeBlanc, Harvey, and Rousseau (2024) confirmed that structured team reflexivity, a process analogous to AARs, significantly enhances team performance and cohesion, particularly when guided by clear facilitation and purpose. These findings empirically validate what military instructors have long practiced: Immediate feedback and structured reflection dramatically strengthen mastery and confidence.

In Spencer's (2024) qualitative investigation, participants articulated these contrasts through their lived experiences. Spencer described that one participant, Tim, perceived his transition to be "unsettling," in part because he had expected more forceful instructional styles—tactics common in military training to reinforce standards under high stakes. Tim interpreted that structure as beneficial, especially given his ADHD and self-reported motivational challenges, and he believed that veterans' maturity, discipline, and life experience afforded them an advantage relative to peers in less structured academic settings (Spencer, 2024, p. 74).

Spencer also reported that another participant, Seth, described adapting to the college environment as a "formidable challenge," particularly around tasks like notetaking, exam preparation, writing, and class attendance. Seth was disappointed with the limited support from veteran services offices for navigating academic routines, and he emphasized that experiential learning modalities would better support retention and conceptual understanding than strictly classroom-based instruction (Spencer, 2024, p. 71).

A third participant, Ann, was framed as valuing structure and personal relevance: Spencer explained that she conveyed strong beliefs in punctuality, regulation, accountability, and maturity, and she viewed experiential learning as a way to link academic concepts to learners' lived contexts (Spencer, 2024, p. 75).

Similarly, Spencer observed that Susan attributed her academic success to habits instilled by her military service: responsibility, discipline, and self-management. Despite financial constraints, Susan believed these habits helped her maintain academic standing and avoid excessive debt or misconduct (Spencer, 2024, p. 74).

Together, these portrayals support Spencer's (2024) central claim: Veterans often carry into higher education an inherent orientation toward structure, mission, and accountability. Their perspectives suggest that key military instructional practices, especially immediate, structured debriefs, competency-based progression, and experiential, mission-aligned tasks, offer promising strategies for college faculty.

Research on student veterans and adult learners reinforces these observations. Cohort-based and veteran-friendly programs that provide clear structure, integrated support, and opportunities for ongoing interaction have been linked to better academic adjustment, sense of belonging, and persistence among student veterans (Campbell & Riggs, 2015; Dillard & Yu, 2016; Schonfeld et al., 2015; Villalobos & Walsh, 2022). Complementing these findings, Georgescu et al. (2023) found that psychological resilience among U.S. military veterans is strongly associated with purpose in life and social support, underscoring the importance of structured, socially connected environments for veteran well-being. Similarly, Bernard et al. (2022) found that structured peer assessment with guided feedback promoted self-, co-, and socially shared regulation of learning among university students, enhancing reflection and confidence. Zheng et al. (2022) likewise demonstrated that both instructor and peer feedback play critical roles in developing self-regulated learning skills in online higher-education environments. Complementing these cognitive findings, Gijón-Puerta et al. (2022) reported that university students from Spain and Costa Rica who learned within organized, socially connected virtual structures exhibited significantly lower levels of stress, anxiety, and depression during pandemic confinement—evidence that clarity and social connection reinforce both learning performance and psychological well-being. Keiser and Arthur (2021) further showed that structured debriefing and reflection, as modeled in military after-action reviews, yield substantial improvements in learning and retention, underscoring the effectiveness of disciplined feedback cycles.

Collectively, these studies bolster the case that military pedagogy does not represent authoritarianism but rather a highly effective system of structured compassion: a model that honors learners' time and potential through clarity, repetition, and purpose. For faculty, adapting these methods means emphasizing mission-based outcomes, actionable feedback, and learning environments that value discipline as dignity—a culture where structure communicates respect and excellence is the expectation.

Applying Military Teaching Principles in Higher Education: A Practice Model for Faculty

Translating these lessons into faculty practice requires a structured yet flexible framework—one that retains the precision of military instruction while honoring academic autonomy.

Mission-Oriented Learning

Mission-oriented learning applies the military emphasis on clarity and purpose to academic instruction, providing a structured framework for understanding the function of each learning task. Every military lesson begins with a mission. Translating this to the classroom means framing lessons around purpose: why it matters and how it applies. Mission-oriented learning supports adult learners by helping them see the relevance and applicability of academic tasks, which research shows is strongly tied to engagement and persistence (Lee, 2025; McDonough, 2014). It is important to clarify that the concept of observable and measurable behavioral objectives originates not with military practice but with Mager's (1962) foundational work in instructional design. Mission-oriented learning draws on this tradition by framing measurable outcomes within purposeful, real-world contexts. Faculty can replace abstract objectives with mission-based statements such as, "By the end of this class, you will be able to analyze and resolve ethical dilemmas using structured decision frameworks." This emphasis on clear, observable, and measurable outcomes reflects a long tradition in instructional design. Classic work on behavioral objectives, such as Mager's Preparing Instructional Objectives (1962), argues that learners benefit when instructors specify exactly what successful performance looks like. Mission-oriented learning builds on, rather than replaces, that tradition by putting those objectives in language that resonates with adult learners' real-world roles and responsibilities.

In higher education, mission-oriented learning offers several advantages. It aligns closely with Knowles's (1984) andragogy by making the relevance of each lesson explicit and by connecting cognitive skills to practical problems students expect to encounter in their careers. At the same time, overemphasis on narrow behavioral outcomes

can risk oversimplifying complex intellectual tasks or underplaying affective and identity dimensions of learning. Faculty can mitigate this risk by pairing mission-based outcomes (e.g., "evaluate," "justify," "design") with reflective activities that surface values, assumptions, and ethical tensions. When instructors clearly articulate both what students should be able to do and why it matters, they mirror the clarity of a military mission brief while honoring the broader purposes of higher education.

Competency-Based Progression

Competency-based progression reflects the military practice of advancing learners only after demonstrated mastery, offering a model for academic programs seeking to strengthen transparency and accountability. Military learners advance only after demonstrating mastery. Faculty can apply this approach by using tiered assessments or "skill checks" that require demonstration of competence before progression. As Hunter-Johnson et al. (2021) and Pierson (2017) emphasize, mastery-learning models enhance self-efficacy and motivation through feedback and repetition—methods that echo those praised by veterans in Spencer (2024). Pierson (2017) further notes that military education integrates adult-learning principles by aligning training with experience and emphasizing competency-based progression, a framework that higher education can adapt to strengthen accountability and learner engagement. Competency-based models are gaining traction in civilian higher education contexts as well; studies on competency-based education indicate that by emphasizing demonstrated mastery rather than seat time, these programs develop stronger self-directed learning among adult learners and show encouraging outcomes for working students advancing toward credentials. (McDonald, 2018; Cleary et al., 2020).

Beyond individual courses, competency-based progression has implications for faculty development. When institutions ask instructors to articulate what competence looks like in their disciplines, they create opportunities for shared rubrics, cross-course alignment, and clearer communication with students. Faculty learning communities can function like training units, where instructors pilot new assessments, compare evidence of student performance, and refine criteria for mastery together. A challenge, however, is the time and support required to redesign courses and programs around competencies rather than seat time. Military training structures address this by dedicating extensive resources to instructional design and rehearsal. Higher education is unlikely to match that scale, but even modest steps, such as clarifying program outcomes, mapping assignments to competencies, and building in chances for reassessment, move teaching closer to a "train to standard, not to time" philosophy.

Cohort-Based Accountability

Cohort-based accountability mirrors the collective orientation of military teams, emphasizing shared responsibility, social support, and collaborative learning structures. Military units learn collaboratively, fostering social support and shared responsibility. Translating this model, colleges can implement peer-led projects, learning cohorts, and rotating leadership to build accountability and motivation. This approach reflects Cohen's (2004) Social Support Theory and has been shown to reduce isolation among non-traditional students. Research on student engagement confirms that peer accountability and collaborative structures reduce isolation and improve persistence among adult learners (Kahu & Nelson, 2017). Just as collective accountability strengthens performance, structured reflection transforms shared experience into growth.

For faculty, adopting cohort-based structures may require a shift in mindset from "my class" to "our learning community." This can feel risky, especially for instructors who worry about grade inflation, free-riding, or conflict in group work. Clear norms, transparent assessment criteria, and structured roles can mitigate many of these concerns. From a faculty development perspective, departments can model the same cohort accountability they hope to see in classrooms: Instructors meet regularly to debrief what is working, share strategies for managing group dynamics, and collaboratively revise assignments. In this sense, the unit-level accountability so familiar in military settings becomes a template for academic teams to strengthen both teaching practice and student belonging.

After-Action Review (AAR) Reflection

Every mission concludes with an After-Action Review: a reflection on success, error, and improvement. Faculty can adopt this through short post-assignment reflections, encouraging metacognition and self-awareness. Meta-analytic evidence confirms that reflective debriefing not only reinforces cognitive learning but also strengthens

resilience and performance (Keiser & Arthur, 2021). Chan & Lee (2021) likewise found that intentional reflection practices enhance persistence and emotional regulation among veterans and adult learners.

Promoting reflection in faculty practice requires institutional scaffolding and literacy across levels. Chan & Lee (2021) argue that to build meaningful reflective capacity, institutions must address barriers from individual, programmatic, and organizational levels. Meanwhile, Kasalak et al. (2022) studied academic administrators and found that their reflective thinking is grounded in experience, external evaluation, and internal questioning—highlighting the importance of institutional support for reflection.

In practical terms, faculty can implement AAR-style reflection with small, repeatable routines rather than elaborate debriefs. For example, a brief "stop-start-continue" survey at the end of a unit invites students to identify what helped their learning, what hindered it, and what they recommend changing. Instructors can then share back a short summary of what they heard and the adjustments they will make, closing the feedback loop and modeling responsiveness. Over time, these cycles of action and reflection help normalize the idea that courses are living systems rather than fixed products. This mirrors military training environments in which every exercise is an opportunity to refine not only individual performance but also the design of the training itself.

Discipline as Dignity

In military culture, discipline is synonymous with respect. Predictable expectations and clear rules signal fairness and professionalism. Faculty can emulate this through consistency, punctuality, and equitable standards, creating psychologically safe environments that mirror the predictability of military culture. Clear grading policies, timely communication, and structured feedback convey professional respect and reduce ambiguity—key factors in academic persistence for working adults and nontraditional learners (Patall, Cooper, & Wynn, 2023; Tinto, 2017). Once structure establishes fairness and predictability, the next step is leadership through example—guidance that motivates rather than mandates.

Instructor as Leader-Coach

Military trainers operate as leader-coaches, blending expertise with mentorship. This style reflects transformational leadership theory (Bass & Riggio, 2006) and aligns with modern faculty-development practices that emphasize mentoring, inclusivity, and reflective growth (Reeves et al., 2023). When professors model professionalism, set high expectations, and provide supportive feedback, they shift from information delivery to human development, cultivating confidence rather than compliance. These lessons are scaled naturally from the classroom to the institution, revealing how mission-based pedagogy can transform both individual teaching practice and organizational culture.

Implications for Online Educators

As higher education continues to expand online and hybrid delivery, military-derived instructional principles—clear mission statements, immediate feedback, and structured collaboration—offer scalable strategies for virtual classrooms. These methods enhance instructor presence, reduce learner isolation, and promote persistence through predictable structure and ongoing reflection. Within digital environments, mission-based pedagogy thus becomes a practical model for sustaining engagement and community among adult learners.

Online educators, in particular, can translate mission-based pedagogy into concrete design choices. A clear "mission brief" at the start of each module can outline the purpose, tasks, and success criteria for the week, reducing ambiguity for students who are balancing coursework with jobs and caregiving. Regular, predictable checkpoints, such as weekly reflection prompts or low-stakes quizzes, recreate the rhythm of training exercises and feedback cycles found in military instruction. At the program level, instructional designers and faculty developers can collaborate to ensure that online courses are not just digitized syllabi but coherent learning missions, with structure and support calibrated to promote persistence rather than passive consumption.

A further advantage of mission-based pedagogy in online learning is its ability to compensate for the loss of spontaneous interaction found in in-person classes. Clearly articulated mission briefs create a shared sense of direction, which is particularly important when students log in at different times. Structured checkpoints mimic the rhythm of military training cycles by reinforcing momentum, while AAR-style reflections help establish continuity across

asynchronous weeks. These design elements not only support learning but also reduce cognitive load, helping adult learners manage coursework alongside employment and caregiving responsibilities.

Discussion and Conclusion: Transforming Faculty Practice Through Military-Inspired Pedagogy

The central lesson from military pedagogy is simple: Train to standard, not to time. The objective is mastery, not completion. Applying this philosophy to higher education reframes teaching from content delivery to performance coaching. It emphasizes purpose, precision, and feedback—the same cornerstones that allow the military to achieve consistent learning outcomes under pressure.

For individual faculty, adopting mission-based pedagogy means defining learning outcomes that connect directly to skill mastery, offering immediate feedback, and designing assessments that reflect competency. Instructors can also integrate structured After-Action Reviews (AARs) at the end of each term to evaluate their own teaching effectiveness, mirroring how military leaders refine methods through continuous reflection and feedback. These practices shift teaching from reactive to deliberate, reinforcing both self-awareness and instructional consistency.

For institutions, the model suggests rethinking faculty development. Instead of compliance-based workshops, universities should cultivate instructional readiness programs: continuous, collaborative practicums that simulate teaching challenges and emphasize mastery through mentorship, peer observation, and iterative improvement. Chan and Lee (2021) emphasized that institutional efforts to foster reflection must operate across multiple levels—individual, pedagogical, and organizational—and depend on developing reflection literacy throughout the system. Their framework suggests that embedding structured reflection cycles in faculty development can align institutional culture and teaching practice. Integrating AAR-style debriefs across departments could institutionalize reflective improvement as a standard expectation rather than an optional enhancement.

When instructors combine purpose, precision, and presence, they replicate the essence of effective military teaching: clarity of goal, quality of feedback, and relational engagement.

For academic leadership, this model reframes discipline as compassion. Clear rules, consistent grading, and predictable structures reduce ambiguity and foster fairness. For adult learners managing complex responsibilities, structure is not rigidity; it is respect. A transparent, mission-driven classroom communicates to students that their time and effort are valued. Faculty who adopt this approach mirror the best aspects of command culture: purposeful, ethical, and service-oriented, without authoritarianism.

From a Scholarship of Teaching and Learning (SoTL) perspective, mission-based pedagogy represents a reflective, evidence-informed model that invites faculty to examine their own practice as a form of inquiry. By documenting how structure, feedback, and purpose influence engagement and persistence, educators contribute to a culture of continuous improvement similar to the iterative learning cycles of military education. Within online and hybrid contexts, these same principles strengthen instructor presence and reflective course design, helping faculty translate leadership and structure into sustained digital engagement.

Ultimately, translating military teaching principles to higher education does not militarize learning; it professionalizes it. Purpose, structure, and feedback empower both instructors and students to pursue excellence as a shared mission. Just as the military trains its leaders to model integrity and consistency, educators must see themselves as leaders of learning missions, not merely conveyors of content. When instructors combine purpose, precision, and presence, they replicate the essence of effective military teaching: clarity of goal, quality of feedback, and relational engagement.

As one veteran summarized in Spencer (2024), "College life should adopt a similar approach to benefit all students" (p. 79). Faculty who do so will find that structure liberates, feedback motivates, and mission inspires. The lesson from the battlefield to the blackboard is not about command. It is about commitment to excellence in teaching.

References

Ackerman, R., DiRamio, D., & Garza, R. (2022). Veterans in transition: Revisiting Schlossberg's framework in modern higher education. *Journal of Postsecondary Education and Disability, 35(2), 151–167.*

Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. *Prentice Hall.*

Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). Transformational leadership (2nd ed.). *Routledge.*

Bernard, J., van der Linden, J., & Fastré, G. (2022). Peer assessment and video feedback to support self-, co-, and socially shared regulation of learning. *Frontiers in Education, 7, 732094.* https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.732094

Campbell, R., & Riggs, S. A. (2015). The role of psychological symptomatology and social support in the academic adjustment of previously deployed student veterans. *Journal of American College Health, 63*(7), 473–481. https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2015.1040408

Chan, C. K. Y., & Lee, K. K. W. (2021). Reflection literacy: A multilevel perspective on the challenges of using reflection in higher education through a comprehensive literature review. *Educational Research Review, 32, 100376.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2020.100376

Cleary, M. N. (2020). Comparing goals to outcomes for graduates of a competency-based education program. *The Journal of Competency-Based Education*, 5(4). https://doi.org/10.1002/cbe2.1223

Cohen, S. (2004). Social relationships and health. *American Psychologist, 59(8), 676–684.*

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, *11*(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01

Dillard, R. J., & Yu, H. H. (2016). Best practices in student veteran education: Making a "veteran-friendly" institution. *The Journal of Continuing Higher Education, 64*(3), 181–186. https://doi.org/10.1080/07377363.2016.1229106

DiRamio, D., Ackerman, R., & Mitchell, R. (2008). From combat to campus: Voices of student-veterans. *NASPA Journal, 45*(1), 73–102

Elliott, M., Gonzalez, C., & Larsen, B. (2011). U.S. military veterans transition to college: Combat, PTSD, and alienation on campus. *Journal of Student Affairs Research and Practice*, 48(3), 279–296. https://doi.org/10.2202/1949-6605.6293

Fenwick, T. & Tennant, M. (2004). Understanding adult learners. In G. Foley (Ed.), D*imensions of adult learning: Adult education and training in a global era* (pp. 55-73). Allen & Unwin.

Gijón Puerta, J., Galván Malagón, M. C., Khaled Gijón, M., & Lizarte Simón, E. J. (2022). Levels of stress, anxiety, and depression in university students from Spain and Costa Rica during periods of confinement and virtual learning. *Education Sciences*, 12(10), 660. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12100660

Gkrimpizi, T., Peristeras, V., & Magnisalis, I. (2023). Classification of barriers to digital transformation in higher education institutions: Systematic literature review. *Education Sciences*, *13*(7), 746. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13070746

Georgescu, M. F., Fischer, I. C., Lowe, S., & Pietrzak, R. H. (2023). Psychological resilience in U.S. military veterans: Results from the 2019–2020 National Health and Resilience in Veterans Study. *Psychiatric Quarterly, 94(3), 449–466.* https://doi.org/10.1007/s11126-023-10041-y

Hunter-Johnson, Y., Liu, T., Murray, K., Niu, Y., & Suprise, M. (2021). Higher education as a tool for veterans in transition: Battling the challenges. *The Journal of Continuing Higher Education, 69(1), 1–18.* https://doi.org/10.1080/07377363.2020.1743621

Kahu, E. R., & Nelson, K. (2017). Student engagement in the educational interface: understanding the mechanisms of student success. *Higher Education Research & Development*, *37*(1), 58–71. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2017.1344197

Kasalak, G., Dağyar, M., Özcan, M., & Yeşilyurt, E. (2022). Reflective thinking skills of academic administrators in higher education. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13, 893517.* https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.893517

Keiser, N. L., & Arthur, W., Jr. (2021). A meta-analysis of the effectiveness of the after-action review (or debrief) and factors that influence its effectiveness. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 106(7), 1007–1032.* https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000821

Knowles, M. (1984). The adult learner: A neglected species (3rd ed.). *Gulf.*

LeBlanc, P. M., Harvey, J.-F., & Rousseau, V. (2024). A meta-analysis of team reflexivity: Antecedents, outcomes, and boundary conditions. *Human Resource Management Review, 101042.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2024.101042

Lee, I. (2025). What makes adult learners persist in college? An analysis using the nontraditional undergraduate student attrition model. *Education Sciences*, *15*(9), 1085. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15091085

Mager, R. F. (1962). *Preparing instructional objectives*. Fearon.

Martin, F., Ritzhaupt, A., Kumar, S., & Budhrani, K. (2019). Award-winning faculty online teaching practices: Roles and competencies. Online Learning Journal, 23(1), 184–205. https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v23i1.1329

McDonough, D. (2014). Providing deep learning through active engagement of adult learners in blended courses. *Journal of Learning in Higher Education, 10*(1), 11–15.

McDonald, N. A. (2018). A private, nonprofit university's experiences designing a competency-based degree for adult learners. *The Journal of Continuing Higher Education*, *66*(1), 34–45. https://doi.org/10.1080/07377363.2018.1415632

Patall, E. A., Cooper, H., & Wynn, S. R. (2023). A meta-analysis of teachers' provision of structure in the classroom. *Educational Psychologist, 58(4), 230–254.* https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2023.2274104

Pierson, D. (2017, October). Reengineering Army education for adult learners. *Journal of Military Learning.* https://www.armyupress.army.mil/Journals/Journal-of-Military-Learning/Journal-of-Military-Learning-Archives/October-2017-Edition/Pierson-Reengineering-Army-Education/

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2017). Self-determination theory: Basic psychological needs in motivation, development, and wellness. The Guilford Press. https://doi.org/10.1521/978.14625/28806

Schlossberg, N. K. (2005). *Counseling adults in transition: Linking practice with theory*. Springer Publishing Company.

Schlossberg, N. K. (1981). A model for analyzing human adaptation to transition. *The Counseling Psychologist*, *9*(2), 2–18.

Schonfeld, L., Braue, L. A., Stire, S., et al. (2015). Behavioral health and adjustment to college life for student service members/veterans. *Journal of American College Health, 63*(7), 428–436. https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2014.963106

Spencer, R. G. (2024). Exploring the transitions of veterans attending college [Doctoral dissertation, Middle Tennessee State University]. *ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.*

Sum, M., & Oancea, A. (2022). The use of technology in higher education teaching by academics during the COVID-19 emergency remote teaching period: a systematic review. *International journal of educational technology in higher education*, 19(1), 59. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-022-00364-4.

Stone, S. L. (2017). Internal voices, external constraints: Investigating the influence of military service on student development. *Journal of College Student Development, 58(5), 643–661.*

Tinto, V. (2017). Through the eyes of students. *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice, 19(3), 254–269.* https://doi.org/10.1177/1521025115621917

Umbach, P.D., Wawrzynski, M.R. Faculty do matter: The role of college faculty in student learning and engagement. *Res High Educ* 46, 153–184 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-004-1598-1

Villalobos, C. S., & Walsh, N. R. (2022). Impact of a cohort model on the American veteran transition to college. *International Journal of Higher Education, 11*(5), 76–89. https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v11n5p76

Zheng, X., Luo, L., & Liu, C. (2022). Facilitating undergraduates' online self-regulated learning: The role of teacher feedback. *Asia-Pacific Education Researcher. Advance online publication.* https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-022-00697-8

Robert Gordon Spencer, MS, MBA, EdD, is a U.S. Navy and Tennessee Army National Guard veteran and higher education scholar based in Tennessee. His research focuses on adult learning, veteran transitions, faculty practice, and institutional systems in higher education. He currently works in public service while continuing his scholarly work on teaching, learning, and organizational leadership.